

REGULAR SESSION

TUESDAY

APRIL 21, 2015

[illegible][illegible]

APRIL 21, 2015

BE IT RESOLVED, that the foregoing resolution was adopted and all actions and deliberations of the Board of Commissioners of the County of Huron, Ohio relating thereto were conducted in meetings open

REGULAR SESSION

TUESDAY

APRIL 21, 2015

to the public, in compliance with all applicable legal requirements, including Section 121.22 of the Ohio Revised Code.

Joe Hintz seconded the motion. The roll being called upon its adoption, the vote resulted as follows:

Aye – Gary W. Bauer

Aye - Tom Dunlap

Aye – Joe Hintz

15-141

**IN THE MATTER OF AGREEMENT BY AND BETWEEN HURON COUNTY AND OHIO
DEPARTMENT OF REHABILITATION AND CORRECTION SUBSIDY GRANT
AGREEMENT FOR COMMUNITY BASED CORRECTIONS PROGRAMS NON-
RESIDENTIAL FELONY**

Joe Hintz moved the adoption of the following resolution:

WHEREAS, the grantee has made application to the Grantor for funds made available for a Community Correction Act Grant, and has submitted a proposal for the use of these funds; and

WHEREAS, the Grantor is authorized, pursuant to authority in section 5149.30 et seq. of the Ohio Revised Code, to determine and award grants to assist local governments in community-based law enforcement services; now therefore

BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Huron County Commissioners approves of the agreement with Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction as attached hereto and incorporated herein; and further

BE IT RESOLVED, that the foregoing resolution was adopted and all actions and deliberations of the Board of Commissioners of the County of Huron, Ohio, relating thereto were conducted in meetings open to the public, in compliance with all applicable legal requirements, including Section 121.22 of the Ohio Revised Code.

Gary W. Bauer seconded the motion. The roll being called upon its adoption, the vote resulted as follows:

Aye – Gary W. Bauer

Aye - Tom Dunlap

Aye – Joe Hintz

- Grant on file.

15-142

**A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE HURON COUNTY COMMISSIONERS TO
ENTER INTO A PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT WITH THE CITY OF NORWALK
AND TO FILE A PY 2015 COMMUNITY HOUSING IMPACT AND
PRESERVATION (CHIP) GRANT WITH THE OHIO DEVELOPMENT SERVICES
AGENCY, OFFICE OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT**

Gary W. Bauer moved the adoption of the following resolution:

WHEREAS, the State of Ohio, Development Services Agency, Office of Community Development has established the Program Year (“PY”) Community Housing Impact and Preservation Program (“CHIP”) Guidelines; and

WHEREAS, the Ohio Development Services Agency, Office of Community Development’s filing guidelines for the PY 2015 CHIP encourages communities to partner with other eligible communities in their respective county by increasing the amount of funding available for each member community in the partnership by \$150,000; and

REGULAR SESSION

TUESDAY

APRIL 21, 2015

WHEREAS, the Huron County intends to partner with the City of Norwalk, with Huron County being the Grantee, in applying for the PY 2015 Community Impact and Preservation Program Grant (CHIP) in order to maximize funds available for each community in the partnership; and

WHEREAS, by partnering, Huron County and the City of Norwalk are eligible for \$450,000 and \$400,000 in CHIP Funding respectively for a total grant request of \$850,000; and

WHEREAS, to fulfill the Program Guidelines of OCD, a Partnership Agreement must be executed by the participating jurisdictions; and

WHEREAS, Huron County hereby commits HOME Housing Program Income in the amount of Twenty-five Thousand Dollars towards the Private Owner Rehabilitation Activity; now therefore

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Huron County Commissioners:

SECTION I. That the Huron County Commissioners are hereby authorized and directed to enter into a Partnership Agreement with the City of Norwalk and to file an application on behalf of the Partnership with the Ohio Development Services Agency for an PY2015 Community Housing Impact and Preservation (CHIP) Grant. The funds from this grant will be used for housing improvements for low and moderate income homeowners in accordance with the Partnership Agreement and Housing Advisory Committee Recommendations and local determinations. Huron County acknowledges that it will be responsible for the entire CHIP grant award, if funded.

SECTION II. That if the Grant is awarded to the County, the county is authorized to accept the grant and enter into an agreement with CT Consultants, Inc., and the Ohio Development Services Agency for its implementation and administration and execute any and all documentation associated with said grant.

BE IT RESOLVED, that the foregoing resolution was adopted and all actions and deliberations of the Board of Commissioners of the County of Huron, Ohio relating thereto were conducted in meetings open to the public, in compliance with all applicable legal requirements, including Section 121.22 of the Ohio Revised Code.

Joe Hintz seconded the motion. The roll being called upon its adoption, the vote resulted as follows:

Aye – Gary W. Bauer

Aye - Tom Dunlap

Aye – Joe Hintz

IN THE MATTER OF PROCLAMATION

WHEREAS, children are Huron County's most precious and valuable resource; and

WHEREAS, each child has the right as a human being, to live and grow in a safe and supportive environment; and

WHEREAS, children who are loved and nurtured grow up to love and nurture others, giving back to their own family, their community and their state, the care that was bestowed upon them; and

WHEREAS, child abuse/neglect is a complex and on-going problem in Huron County; Children Services responded to 1560 calls and concerns involving over 3500 children and 1200 families; 370 of these referrals resulted in a need for further assessment; 44 children spent time in foster care, and 3 were adopted; and

WHEREAS, child abuse/neglect is a community problem, and finding solutions will depend on involvement of people throughout Huron County; and

WHEREAS, child abuse/neglect prevention programs will succeed because of partnerships among private sector agencies and the people of Huron County;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF HURON COUNTY

COMMISSIONERS, that residents are encouraged to recognize and support the needs of abused and neglected children and take action to prevent child abuse and neglect.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF

REGULAR SESSION**TUESDAY****APRIL 21, 2015**

We have hereunto subscribed our names this 29th of April in the year of our Lord, Two Thousand Fifteen.

HURON COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

Gary W. Bauer, Tom Dunlap, Joe Hintz

IN THE MATTER OF TRAVEL

Gary W. Bauer moved to approve the following travel request. Joe Hintz seconded the motion. The roll being called upon its adoption, the vote resulted as follows:

Aye – Gary W. Bauer

Aye - Tom Dunlap

Aye – Joe Hintz

Roland Tkach, Auditor to Toledo, Ohio on April 24, 2015 for the CAAO District Meeting, also attending Beth Horvatich and Amy Howell.

IN THE MATTER OF REQUEST FOR LEAVE

Jeff Deeble/Building & Grounds/Sick/5:30 a.m. – 2:00 p.m. April 22, 2015/Sick/5:30 a.m. – 2:00 p.m. April 27, 2015.

Mark Kleinhenz/Mechanic/Sick/8:00 a.m. – 4:30 p.m. April 24, 2015.

Mitch Zurcher/Building & Grounds/Compensatory Time/7:00 a.m. – 3:00 p.m. April 17, 2015.

Peter Welch/BG/TS/SWMD/Sick/7:30 a.m. – 3:30 p.m. April 17, 2015/Bereavement/12:00 p.m. – 3:30 p.m. April 21, 2015.

Joshua Jasinski/Dog Warden/Sick/8:00 a.m. – 4:30 p.m. April 17, 2015.

At 9:30 a.m. Public Comment

Mr. & Mrs. Kevin Ledet came before the board in regards to the Greenwich windfarm. Mr. Ledet explained that they have a copy of the Ohio Revised Code that is a consensus point with the Ohio Power Citing Board is how they allow the wind farm company to move 67% of their turbines without requiring the proper waivers from the adjacent property owners according to the Ohio Revised Code, this may have to go before the Ohio Supreme Court, it may be going that way.

Mr. Ledet explained that he has a letter that he sent to the Ohio Energy Mandates Study Committee that he would like the board to review. Along with this letter was sent a 62 page document, including newspaper articles. He would like to get the local government officials on board, including township trustees, commissioners and school board members. Mr. Ledet would like the Commissioners to sign this letter showing their support to have these mandates reviewed. Mr. Dunlap questioned what had happen with the appeal that was filed. Mr. Ledet explained that they have granted a rehearing but have not issued a decision.

Presented letter for the board to review

REGULAR SESSION

TUESDAY

APRIL 21, 2015

April 24, 2015

Honorable Troy Balderson
Chairman, Ohio Energy Mandate Study Committee
Ohio Senate
Senate Building
Columbus, OH 43215

Dear Chairman Balderson:

We are writing to you as a group of local officials who have concerns about wind turbines, and specifically the wind turbine park that is being proposed in Greenwich Township and how it has impacted the community we represent. Members of Greenwich Neighbors United (GNU) shared with us the letter they sent to your committee and we wanted to reiterate some of the same concerns they shared with you in their letter.

- A wind park developer filed an application for a certificate with the Ohio Power Siting Board to erect 25 wind turbines, each 490.5 feet tall with rotor diameters of up to 383 feet, and covering 4,650 acres of land. Case Number 13-990-EL-BGN.
- As members of the community became more educated on the proposed wind park and the impact it had on the community, hundreds of comments were filed at the OPSB, and many members of the community reached out to us to help stop the wind turbine project. Unfortunately, the OPSB did issue a certificate to the wind park developer. However, members of GNU filed an application for rehearing and it was granted to allow the OPSB more time to review the application.
- The community in Greenwich has had many meetings about the wind park development and the potential harmful impact it will have on our land and quality of life. Some of our major concerns include:
 - 1) The consistent and low frequency noise of wind turbines can cause health issues, including migraine headaches, vertigo, nausea, tinnitus, and sleep deprivation;
 - 2) Birds and bats that help us manage bugs and pests are killed by the blades of the turbines;
 - 3) Wind farms destroy the value of land and homes that are located near the windfarm;
 - 4) There are safety issues, such as ice throws, turbine fires, and catastrophic turbine failure that can damage property, injure members of our community and injure people driving on roads near the wind farm property;
 - 5) Local fire departments including our volunteer fire department do not have the equipment to address a turbine fire including fires caused by a lightning strike and, absent prudent setbacks, dry grain fields can rapidly spread a turbine fire to dwellings and other property.

[C46798.1]

MEMORANDUM

TO: Members of the Ohio Energy Mandate Study Committee
FROM: Kevin Ledet, Chairperson, Greenwich Neighbors United
CC: Governor Kasich, Ohio Power Siting Board
DATE: March 10, 2015
RE: Energy Mandates/GNU's Story

I am writing on behalf of Greenwich Neighbors United (GNU) to urge the Ohio General Assembly to repeal or indefinitely suspend the annual escalation in compliance quantities specified as part of Ohio's energy mandates, including the renewable mandate. GNU is a large and growing group of local property owners who have joined together to coordinate our efforts to voice concerns about a proposed industrial-size wind farm in Huron County. The proposed wind farm that brought forth our concerns and the formation of GNU is described in Ohio Power Siting Board (OPSB), Case No. 13-990-EL-BGN.

In the summer of 2014, many residents of Greenwich began to understand the real significance of the proposed wind farm development that would be erected in our backyard. The developer had filed an application for a certificate with the OPSB and was seeking authorization to install 25 wind turbines, each 490.5 feet tall with rotor diameters of up to 383 feet, and covering 4,650 acres of land. As we started to learn more about the dangers and harmful impacts of the developer's invasion plans, members of our community began to frantically write letters and e-mail messages to the OPSB, many of which you can find in the "public comment" section of OPSB's case file. Unfortunately and as the OPSB was moving the certificate application along quite quickly, it took us a while to realize that our concerns and interests were less likely to be taken into account unless we hired an attorney and intervened in the OPSB proceeding. We are a group of neighbors, many of us farmers, who did not understand the OPSB's formal process or that the concerns expressed in our letters and e-mail messages might be ignored by the OPSB unless we became a "party" to the case. However, we did know that the wind farm that was being proposed would run our tranquil rural community and deprive us of our fundamental rights to hold and enjoy property. Along the way, we began to realize that that the OPSB was not looking out for us and it was going to be up to us to make sure our concerns were addressed before the for-profit wind farm developer was allowed to move forward.

As we came to better appreciate the risk of not being a party in the OPSB proceeding, we found a lawyer who was willing to help us at a cost we could afford. He filed a request to allow one of the affected property owners and our members to intervene after the intervention cut-off date so that we might have the rights of a "party". He urged the OPSB to find that there was "good cause" to allow the late intervention. Despite the support we received for this motion from members of the public, this intervention request was rejected at the urging of the wind farm developer. And then the OPSB issued a decision authorizing a certificate without one mention of the safety and other concerns expressed in the hundreds of letters and e-mail messages that were

[C46798.1]

Attached is our application for rehearing that was filed at the OPSB, along with many articles and letters to the editor that have been printed in our local papers.

We would be happy to host a local meeting of your Committee to let you see firsthand what is being proposed in our community and to let hear from the Ohio citizens who are paying a high price as a result of Ohio's arbitrary portfolio mandates.

We hope this information is useful and we thank you for your consideration of our comments.

[C46798.1]

- 6) The wind park developer did NOT get a waiver of the minimum setback requirement from ALL owners or property adjacent to the wind farm property and that has caused a much divided community.

As local officials who represent this community, we ask that the Ohio Energy Mandate Study Committee look at this case and how these wind turbines could harm our land and quality of life. Specifically, we request that one of your recommendations include that ALL adjacent property owners must sign a waiver if the minimum setback requirement is not met. This issue has caused a great deal of concern in Greenwich.

Sincerely,

Huron County Commissioner:

Greenwich Township Trustee:

South Central School Board Member:

cc: Members of the Ohio Energy Mandate Study Committee

[C46798.1]

reconstruction, change, alteration, maintenance, removal, use, or enlargement and including erosion control, aesthetics, recreational land use, wildlife protection, interconnection with power lines and with regional transmission organizations, independent transmission system operators, or similar organizations, ice throw, sound and noise levels, blade shear, shadow flicker, decommissioning, and necessary cooperation for site visits and enforcement investigations", and;
12)The OPSB is, contrary to the plain language in Section 4906.20 (C) of the Revised Code and despite protests from at least one legislator, allowing wind farm developers to evade the minimum setback requirements without the developer securing a waiver of the minimum setback requirement from ALL owners or property adjacent to the wind farm property.

GNU was formed to help educate the community and public officials about the risks of wind turbines and the developers who place profits above local interests. We have done this on our own time and at our own expense. We have held numerous public meetings, bake sales, raffled off home-made pies, held a chili rally, distributed yard signs, put informational brochures in pizza boxes, and held a soup and song event all to educate the community and raise funds to make sure that our concerns are responsibly addressed before a wind farm developer is allowed to invade and exploit our community.

Throughout our learning process, we have heard from experts on the subject of wind turbines and how harmful they can be on a community and how inefficient they are when it comes to producing electricity or helping the environment. As this committee studies the current energy mandates, we wanted you to know about our story and the degree to which real people with concerns about wind farm developments within or near their communities are struggling to get their concerns addressed in a timely and responsible fashion. We are a community, made up of mostly farmers, who have educated ourselves on wind turbines.

Based on the things we have discovered and our experience with the OPSB, we respectfully request that your report recommend that the General Assembly either repeal or indefinitely suspend any further escalation in the energy mandates compliance quantities. Allowing the annual escalation in the mandates, including the renewable mandate, to resume in 2017 before there are adequate substantive and procedural safeguards in place AND it is clear that the safeguards will be properly applied by the OPSB and other agencies will result in other communities around Ohio having to endure what we have been through.

As importantly, our state and Nation should not be subsidizing wind turbine developers and as taxpayers and electricity consumers, we should not be forced to provide financial support to wind farm developers that our invading our communities with little or no regard for the property rights which are supposed to be protected by our state and federal constitutions. .

[C46798.1]

Lawriter - ORC - 4906.20 Certificate required to construct certain wind farms.

Page 1 of 2

4906.20 Certificate required to construct certain wind farms.

(A) No person shall commence to construct an economically significant wind farm in this state without first having obtained a certificate from the power siting board. An economically significant wind farm with respect to which such a certificate is required shall be constructed, operated, and maintained in conformity with that certificate and any terms, conditions, and modifications it contains. A certificate shall be issued only pursuant to this section. The certificate may be transferred, subject to the approval of the board, to a person that agrees to comply with those terms, conditions, and modifications.

(B) The board shall adopt rules governing the certifying of economically significant wind farms under this section. Initial rules shall be adopted within one hundred twenty days after June 24, 2006.

(1) The rules shall provide for an application process for certifying economically significant wind farms that is identical to the extent practicable to the process applicable to certifying major utility facilities under sections 4906.06, 4906.07, 4906.08, 4906.09, 4906.10, 4906.11, and 4906.12 of the Revised Code and shall prescribe a reasonable schedule of application filing fees structured in the manner of the schedule of filing fees required for major utility facilities.

(2) Additionally, the rules shall prescribe reasonable regulations regarding any wind turbines and associated facilities of an economically significant wind farm, including, but not limited to, their location, erection, construction, reconstruction, change, alteration, maintenance, removal, use, or enlargement and including erosion control, aesthetics, recreational land use, wildlife protection, interconnection with power lines and with regional transmission organizations, independent transmission system operators, or similar organizations, ice throw, sound and noise levels, blade shear, shadow flicker, decommissioning, and necessary cooperation for site visits and enforcement investigations.

(a) The rules also shall prescribe a minimum setback for a wind turbine of an economically significant wind farm. That minimum shall be equal to a horizontal distance, from the turbine's base to the property line of the wind farm property, equal to one and one-tenth times the total height of the turbine structure as measured from its base to the tip of its highest blade and be at least one thousand one hundred twenty-five feet in horizontal distance from the tip of the turbine's nearest blade at ninety degrees to property line of the nearest adjacent property at the time of the certification application.

(b)

(i) For any existing certificates and amendments thereto, and existing certification applications that have been found by the chairperson to be in compliance with division (A) of section 4906.06 of the Revised Code before the effective date of the amendment of this section by H.B. 59 of the 130th general assembly, September 29, 2013, the distance shall be seven hundred fifty feet instead of one thousand one hundred twenty-five feet.

(ii) Any amendment made to an existing certificate after the effective date of the amendment of this section by H.B. 483 of the 130th general assembly shall be subject to the setback provision of this section as amended by that act. The amendments to this section by that act shall not be construed to limit or abridge any rights or remedies in equity or under the common law.

(c) The setback shall apply in all cases except those in which all owners of property adjacent to the wind farm property waive application of the setback to that property pursuant to a procedure the

board shall establish by rule and except in which, in a particular case, the board determines that a setback greater than the minimum is necessary.

Amended by 130th General Assembly File No. TBD, HB 483, §101.01, eff. 9/15/2014.

Amended by 130th General Assembly File No. 25, HB 59, §101.01, eff. 9/29/2013.

Amended by 129th General Assembly File No.125, SB 315, §101.01, eff. 9/10/2012.

Effective Date: 2008 HB562 06-24-2008

<http://codes.ohio.gov/orc/4906.20>

4/20/2015

OTHER BUSINESS

Mr. Bauer discussed his meeting with Erie County in regards to IT, if we enter into an agreement and Erie County hires an IT person to service Huron County, and we decide that we don't want to do it at some point, Erie County has a person they don't need. Mr. Bauer explained Erie County's counter offer, which he feels needs to be considered is that Huron County would hire an IT person, Erie County would provide the same back up support to that IT person under contract. Erie County will work on a contract and submit it to Huron County to review. Mr. Bauer asked the other Board members if they feel they should allow Erie County to submit a contract to work on getting an IT person hired. The Board is in agreement, we really need an IT person.

Mr. Bauer discussed centralized dispatching, Mr. Bauer stated he went to Erie County Sheriff's Department to see their centralized dispatching. Mr. Bauer stated he learned a lot from this visit. He explained that they have renovated their room, there are 6 desks for 6 dispatches in this room along with multiple screens to monitor everything that is going on. Mr. Bauer stated that when Huron County discussed centralizing dispatch a while ago, they thought everyone would jump on board, however City of Norwalk and City of Willard stated "No" we need 24 hr. dispatching. Mr. Hintz questioned how did Erie County get everyone on board? Mr. Bauer stated the Huron closed their jail, Sandusky closed their jail, and all prisoners of Erie County now go to the Erie County Jail. Mr. Dunlap stated that after business hours there is a camera and a phone in the lobby of the police departments. If someone needs assistance, they pick up the phone, talk to the person on the other end, who will dispatch the correct people. Mr. Dunlap feels this is awesome. Mr. Bauer explained that Erie County can monitor where each law enforcement agency is at all times. The Erie County Sheriff is in charge of central dispatch. Mr. Bauer stated the Erie County has saved \$800,000.00. Mr. Bauer stated that everyone in the County needs to work together to get the centralized dispatching to work in Huron County.

At 9:53 a.m. the board recessed.

At 10:12 a.m. regular session resumed.

Joset Burns, Secretary of State Office came before the board to introduce herself and the representative from the regional. Mr. Dunlap questioned Ms. Burns regarding the Board of Elections, what kind of a mess are we going to be in financially with how they are changing voting around. Ms. Burns stated she is not sure what the outcome of all this will be. Mr. Dunlap stated it could devastate us financially.

At 10:27 a.m.

Pete Welch, Maintenance Supervisor came before the board in regards to the Huron County Administration Building renovations. Mr. Welch questioned how many signs are needed? Mr. Bauer stated he did not know there were this many signs for the Administration Building. The board agreed that the sign on the building needs to be replaced. Mr. Welch questioned if the signs on the sides need to come down, the board agreed that the signs out front and on the sides need to be removed. Mr. Welch stated

REGULAR SESSION

TUESDAY

APRIL 21, 2015

that the sandstone needs to be replaced, Mr. Dunlap stated this should be done before Master Gardners plants the flowers. The awning out front needs to be replaced as well. The flag pole will match the dark brown of the windows. Ms. Nolan questioned the railing, Mr. Welch stated it will be replaced.

SIGNINGS

Task orders for ground water monitoring signature pages.

EXHIBIT C
WORK AUTHORIZATION
NO. 00757226.0001

This Work Authorization is entered into by and between ARCADIS US, Inc., a Delaware corporation ("ARCADIS") and Huron County Commissioners ("Client"). This Work Authorization incorporates by reference the Professional Services Agreement entered into by the Parties dated January 6, 2012 (the "Services Agreement"). The Services Agreement is hereby amended and supplemented as follows:

Client hereby authorizes ARCADIS to perform the following general scope of Basic Services:

Groundwater Sampling and Semi-Annual Reports for Detection Monitoring and Assessment Monitoring for 2015

12. Client authorizes ARCADIS to provide the Basic Services described in this Work Authorization in connection with the following Project or Site(s):

Huron County Sanitary Landfill, 2299 Trowline Road 131 W, Marionville, OH 44647

13. The above-described Basic Services shall be provided by ARCADIS in phases, as set forth below. (N/A indicates phase is not applicable to services to be provided under this Work Authorization).

2.1. **Time and Material Method:**

2.1.1. Client shall pay ARCADIS for Services as follows:

2.1.1.1. ARCADIS' labor hours at the hourly rate specified herein. This hourly rate includes wages, overhead, general and administrative expenses, and profit; and

2.1.1.2. Cost of materials and services incurred by ARCADIS, plus a mark-up of 10%.

2.1.1.3. Invoices of subcontractors retained by ARCADIS, plus a mark-up of 10%.

2.1.2. Other provisions concerning payment are as follows:

2.1.2.1. Then-current standard charges for in-house expenses.

2.1.3. ARCADIS' current fee schedule is attached.

2.1.4. If the Agreement or Work Authorization contains a "not to exceed" or such other budgetary amount and term, ARCADIS shall be paid for the time and materials, as provided in this paragraph up to the not to exceed amount, plus any increase approved or directed by the Client.

REMITTANCE INFORMATION

To pay invoices by ACH or Wire--

1

Bank: Bank of America
ACH Bank routing (ABA) number: 071 000 039

Wire Transfer routing (ABA) number: 026 009 093

Account number: 818805937

Account name: ARCADIS US, Inc. Lockbox Account

SWIFT Code for international payments: BOFAUS33

Send eMail notifications of payment to:
Remit-Mailbox@arcadis-us.com


To pay invoices by check, use the following information (FOR U.S. MAIL and COURIER DELIVERY)

Please Remit To:
ARCADIS US, Inc.
6268 Collections Center Drive
Chicago, IL 60655-0626

ARCADIS shall perform the Basic Services described above in accordance with the following schedule:

Sampling Events (semi-annual)	144 hrs.	\$12,000
Preparation of Reports (two reports)	160 hrs.	\$16,200
Review of Reports	11 hrs.	\$1,100
Update GWP&AP	2 hrs.	\$200
Equipment Rental and Expenses		\$4,100
Laboratory Analysis (two events)		\$24,100
TOTAL:		\$52,700

Estimated level of effort does not include any sampling events or response to Ohio EPA comments. If this is required, additional scope charges will be submitted for approval prior to beginning work.

By: 	By: Erin Breunlich
Title: <i>CPM</i>	Title: <i>CPM</i>
Date: <i>4-21-15</i>	Date: <i>4-22-15</i>

2

EXHIBIT C
WORK AUTHORIZATION
NO. 00757226.0002

This Work Authorization is entered into by and between ARCADIS US, Inc., a Delaware corporation ("ARCADIS") and Huron County Commissioners ("Client"). This Work Authorization incorporates by reference the Professional Services Agreement entered into by the Parties dated January 6, 2012 (the "Services Agreement"). The Services Agreement is hereby amended and supplemented as follows:

Client hereby authorizes ARCADIS to perform the following general scope of Basic Services:

Groundwater Background Data Set Update and Sanitary Statistical Update to Incorporate Laboratory Analytical Results of Samples Collected Through the Fall 2014 Sample Event (to include data from the January 2015 re-sample event)

12. Client authorizes ARCADIS to provide the Basic Services described in this Work Authorization in connection with the following Project or Site(s):

Huron County Sanitary Landfill, 2299 Trowline Road 131 W, Marionville, OH 44647

13. The above-described Basic Services shall be provided by ARCADIS in phases, as set forth below. (N/A indicates phase is not applicable to services to be provided under this Work Authorization).

2.1. **Time and Material Method:**

2.1.1. Client shall pay ARCADIS for Services as follows:

2.1.1.1. ARCADIS' labor hours at the hourly rate specified herein. This hourly rate includes wages, overhead, general and administrative expenses, and profit; and

2.1.1.2. Cost of materials and services incurred by ARCADIS, plus a mark-up of 10%.

2.1.1.3. Invoices of subcontractors retained by ARCADIS, plus a mark-up of 10%.

2.1.2. Other provisions concerning payment are as follows:

2.1.2.1. Then-current standard charges for in-house expenses.

2.1.3. ARCADIS' current fee schedule is attached.

2.1.4. If the Agreement or Work Authorization contains a "not to exceed" or such other budgetary amount and term, ARCADIS shall be paid for the time and materials, as provided in this paragraph up to the not to exceed amount, plus any increase approved or directed by the Client.

REMITTANCE INFORMATION

To pay invoices by ACH or Wire--

1

Bank: Bank of America
ACH Bank routing (ABA) number: 071 000 039

Wire Transfer routing (ABA) number: 026 009 093

Account number: 818805937

Account name: ARCADIS US, Inc. Lockbox Account

SWIFT Code for international payments: BOFAUS33

Send eMail notifications of payment to:
Remit-Mailbox@arcadis-us.com


To pay invoices by check, use the following information (FOR U.S. MAIL and COURIER DELIVERY)

Please Remit To:
ARCADIS US, Inc.
6268 Collections Center Drive
Chicago, IL 60655-0626

ARCADIS shall perform the Basic Services described above in accordance with the following schedule:

Database Setup (data input)	10 hrs.	\$1,100
Statistical Calculations and Evaluations	50 hrs.	\$5,100
Statistical Results QA/QC	12 hrs.	\$1,200
TOTAL:		\$7,400

Estimated level of effort does not include any sampling events or response to Ohio EPA comments. If this is required, additional scope charges will be submitted for approval prior to beginning work.

By: 	By: Erin Breunlich
Title: <i>CPM</i>	Title: <i>CPM</i>
Date: <i>4-21-15</i>	Date: <i>4-22-15</i>

2

REGULAR SESSION

TUESDAY

APRIL 21, 2015

At 10:41 a.m. Joe Hintz moved to enter into **Executive Session ORC 121.22 (G) (1)** to consider the appointment, **employment**, dismissal, discipline, promotion, demotion, or compensation of a public employee or official, or the investigation of charges or complaints against a public employee, official, licensee, or regulated individual. Joe Hintz seconded the motion. The roll being called upon its adoption, the vote resulted as follows:

Aye – Gary W. Bauer
Aye - Tom Dunlap
Aye – Joe Hintz

At 10:45 a.m. Gary W. Bauer moved to end Executive Session ORC 121.22 (G) (1). Joe Hintz seconded the motion. The roll being called upon its adoption, the vote resulted as follows:

Aye – Gary W. Bauer
Aye - Tom Dunlap
Aye – Joe Hintz

At 10:47 a.m. Gary W. Bauer moved to adjourn. Joe Hintz seconded the motion. The meeting stood adjourned.

IN THE MATTER OF OPEN SESSION

The board Huron County Commissioners hereby attest that all actions and deliberations of the Board legally required to be public were conducted in an open session on this date and that the foregoing minutes represent the official action of the Board.

IN THE MATTER OF CERTIFICATION

The Clerk to the Board does hereby attest that the foregoing is a true and correct record of all actions taken by the Board of Huron County Commissioners on April 21, 2015.

IN THE MATTER OF ADJOURNING

The meeting was called to order at 9:00 a.m. With no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 10:47 a. m.

Signatures on File